


Submit Review

Please use this form to submit your review. For each criterion you can award certain points. The contribution to the final rating is stated in the left column below the name of each criterion.

Note that a ConfTool session is limited to two hours. [Print View](#) 

Information on the Contribution	
Contribution ID	321
Conference Track / Type of Submission	Workshop 123
Title	Assessing, conserving and using native Coffee
Keywords	Keyword 1, Keyword 2, Keyword 3
Awarding of points based on various criteria	
* Quality of Content 10%	<input type="radio"/> 10 - Excellent work and a significant contribution <input type="radio"/> 08 - Good work, significant <input type="radio"/> 06 - Solid work <input type="radio"/> 04 - Weak content <input type="radio"/> 02 - Only an insignificant contribution <input type="radio"/> 00 - Questionable work
* Significance for theory or practice 10%	<input type="radio"/> 10 - Very significant <input type="radio"/> 08 - Significant <input type="radio"/> 06 - Not bad <input type="radio"/> 04 - Low significance <input type="radio"/> 02 - Only of marginal significance <input type="radio"/> 00 - Absolutely insignificant
* Originality and level of innovativeness 10%	<input type="radio"/> 10 - Groundbreaking <input type="radio"/> 08 - A pioneer work <input type="radio"/> 06 - One step forward <input type="radio"/> 04 - Better works on the same topic exist <input type="radio"/> 02 - This has been said several times <input type="radio"/> 00 - Outdated work
* Relevance for the "Call for Papers" 10%	<input type="radio"/> 10 - Appropriate to the point <input type="radio"/> 08 - Definitely relevant <input type="radio"/> 06 - Close enough <input type="radio"/> 04 - Not really adequate <input type="radio"/> 02 - Not adequate <input type="radio"/> 00 - Definitely unsuitable
* Quality of presentation 10%	<input type="radio"/> 10 - Excellently written <input type="radio"/> 08 - Well written <input type="radio"/> 06 - Legible <input type="radio"/> 04 - Needs some revision <input type="radio"/> 02 - Requires considerable work <input type="radio"/> 00 - Not acceptable

Decisive overall recommendation	
<div><div>* Overall recommendation</div><div>50%</div></div>	<div><div><div></div><div>10 - Definitely accept (very high quality)</div></div><div><div></div><div>08 - Probably accept (good quality)</div></div><div><div></div><div>06 - Lean towards acceptance (borderline quality)</div></div><div><div></div><div>04 - Lean towards rejection (low quality)</div></div><div><div></div><div>02 - Probably reject (minor quality)</div></div><div><div></div><div>00 - Definitely reject (has no merit)</div></div></div>
Comments on the submission	
<div><div>* Comments for the authors</div></div>	<div><div>Please provide an as detailed as possible explanation for your evaluation, which allows the authors to understand it. Point out strengths and weaknesses of the submitted contribution. Please also provide suggestions for improvement und use an objective and constructive writing style.</div><div></div></div>
Information for the program committee	
<div><div>Internal comments</div></div>	<div><div>These comments are only for PC members and will not be passed on to authors.</div><div></div></div>
	<div><div>Please note: Finalized reviews cannot be edited anymore. Please finalize all reviews before the review deadline.</div></div>
<div><div><div>Submit and Finalize Review</div></div></div>	
<div><div><div>Save as Draft</div></div></div>	